

IMAGINE – PALM BEACH COUNTY, LLC.

V.

SCHOOL BOARD OF PALM BEACH COUNTY

ISSUE ONE

- A. Whether the School Board had statutory good cause to deny the Application based upon: Failure to adequately address student performance, assessment and evaluation, specifically based on (1) provision of “objectives” instead of “goals;” and (2) omission of a document that was referenced in the application.

I move that the Commission find that the School Board **did** have competent substantial evidence to support its finding that the Application was statutorily deficient in the area of “Failure to adequately address student performance, assessment and evaluation, specifically based on (1) provision of “objectives” instead of “goals;” and (2) omission of a document that was referenced in the application.”

MOTION:

SECONDED:

VOTE: YES _____

NO _____

- B. If the Commission finds that the School Board did have competent substantial evidence to support its finding, a vote must be taken on whether that finding constitutes **statutory good cause** for denial.

I move that the Commission find that the School Board’s denial based on its finding that the Application was statutorily deficient in the area of “Failure to adequately address student performance, assessment and evaluation, specifically based on (1) provision of “objectives” instead of “goals;” and (2) omission of a document that was referenced in the application” **was** statutory good cause for denial.

MOTION:

SECONDED:

VOTE: YES _____

NO _____

ISSUE TWO

- C. Whether the School Board had statutory good cause to deny the Application based upon: Failure to adequately address the governance and school management, specifically related to the role of the parent company.

I move that the Commission find that the School Board **did** have competent substantial evidence to support its finding that the Application was statutorily deficient in the area of “Failure to adequately address the governance and school management, specifically related to the role of the parent company.”

MOTION:

SECONDED:

VOTE: YES _____

NO _____

- D. If the Commission finds that the School Board did have competent substantial evidence to support its finding, a vote must be taken on whether that finding constitutes **statutory good cause** for denial.

I move that the Commission find that the School Board’s denial based on its finding that the Application was statutorily deficient in the area of “Failure to adequately address the governance and school management, specifically related to the role of the parent company” **was** statutory good cause for denial.

MOTION:

SECONDED:

VOTE: YES _____

NO _____

ISSUE THREE

- E. Whether the School Board had statutory good cause to deny the Application based upon: Failure to address the role of an educational service provider specifically based on (1) the role of the tax exempt status of the school; and (2) the role of the parent company.

I move that the Commission find that the School Board **did** have competent substantial evidence to support its finding that the Application was statutorily deficient in the area of “Failure to address the role of an educational service provider, specifically based on (1) the role of the tax exempt status of the school; and (2) the role of the parent company.”

MOTION: SECONDED:

VOTE: YES _____ NO _____

F. If the Commission finds that the School Board did have competent substantial evidence to support its finding, a vote must be taken on whether that finding constitutes **statutory good cause** for denial.

I move that the Commission find that the School Board’s denial based on its finding that the Application was statutorily deficient in the area of “Failure to address the role of an educational service provider, specifically based on (1) the role of the tax exempt status of the school; and (2) the role of the parent company” **was** statutory good cause for denial.

MOTION: SECONDED:

VOTE: YES _____ NO _____

ISSUE FOUR

G. Whether the School Board had statutory good cause to deny the Application based upon: Student recruitment and failure to address the consequences of incomplete parent volunteer hours.

I move that the Commission find that the School Board **did** have competent substantial evidence to support its finding that the Application was statutorily deficient in the area of “Student recruitment and failure to address the consequences of incomplete parent volunteer hours.”

MOTION: SECONDED:

VOTE: YES _____ NO _____

H. If the Commission finds that the School Board did have competent substantial evidence to support its finding, a vote must be taken on whether that finding constitutes **statutory good cause** for denial.

I move that the Commission find that the School Board’s denial based on its finding that the Application was statutorily deficient in the area of “Student recruitment and failure to address the consequences of incomplete parent volunteer hours” **was** statutory good cause for denial.

MOTION: SECONDED:

VOTE: YES _____ NO _____

ISSUE FIVE

I. Whether the School Board had statutory good cause to deny the Application based upon: Failure to adequately address the school’s budget, based specifically on high start up and other costs.

I move that the Commission find that the School Board **did** have competent substantial evidence to support its finding that the Application was statutorily deficient in the area of “Failure to adequately address the school’s budget, based specifically on high start up and other costs.”

MOTION: SECONDED:

VOTE: YES _____ NO _____

J. If the Commission finds that the School Board did have competent substantial evidence to support its finding, a vote must be taken on whether that finding constitutes **statutory good cause** for denial.

I move that the Commission find that the School Board’s denial based on its finding that the Application was statutorily deficient in the area of “Failure to adequately address the school’s budget, based specifically on high start up and other costs” **was** statutory good cause for denial.

MOTION: SECONDED:

VOTE: YES _____ NO _____

ISSUE SIX

- K. Whether the School Board had statutory good cause to deny the Application based upon: Failure to address financial management and oversight specifically based on the role of the school’s governing board and the relationship between the school with its parent company.

I move that the Commission find that the School Board **did** have competent substantial evidence to support its finding that the Application was statutorily deficient in the area of “Failure to address financial management and oversight specifically based on the role of the school’s governing board and the relationship between the school with its parent company.”

MOTION:

SECONDED:

VOTE: YES _____

NO _____

- L. If the Commission finds that the School Board did have competent substantial evidence to support its finding, a vote must be taken on whether that finding constitutes **statutory good cause** for denial.

I move that the Commission find that the School Board’s denial based on its finding that the Application was statutorily deficient in the area of “Failure to address financial management and oversight specifically based on the role of the school’s governing board and the relationship between the school with its parent company” **was** statutory good cause for denial.

MOTION:

SECONDED:

VOTE: YES _____

NO _____

FINAL MOTION:

MOTION:

SECONDED:

I move the Commission provide a written recommendation to **grant / deny** the appeal.

VOTE: Yes _____

No _____